

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/936,446 | 03/04/2002 | Clara L. Garcia-Rodenas | 112843-027 | 4113 |
| 24573 75 | 90 07/03/2002 | | | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC | | | EXAMI | NER |
| PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL | 60690-1135 | | WINSTON, RA | ANDALL O |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | _ |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002 | 8 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/936,446**

Applicant(s)

Garcia-Rodenas et al.

Examiner

Randall Winston

Art Unit **1651**



| | L | |
|----------|---|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
| | for Reply | TO EVAIDE 2 MONTHUS FROM |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE MONTH(5) FROM |
| - Extens | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r | o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the |
| - If the | g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the | |
| | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the | |
| - | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |
| Status | , | |
| 1) 🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti | on is non-final. |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par | xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposi | ition of Claims | |
| 4) 🗶 | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> | is/are pending in the application. |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> | is/are rejected. |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| Applica | ation Papers | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | o this Office action. |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13)🗶 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) [| X All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | |
| | 1. $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. |
| | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | |
| _ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | |
| _ | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona | |
| 15)∟ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121. |
| Attachm | nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). |
| | otice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| _ | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: |
| ۰۰۰ سب | | · · |

Application/Control Number: 09/936,446

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, 7, 12, and 19 are rendered vague and indefinite for the phrase "and being in the form of ." One of ordinary skill in the art would not know (of what? i.e. the dietary protein hydrolysate) as "being in the form of."

Claim 1, 7, 13, and 19 recite the term "in the form of a mixture of different size peptides and free amino acids." No objective criterion is provided in the specification or claim to apprise one of skill in the art of the meaning "in the form of a mixture of different size peptides and free amino acids." There is no definition of "in the form of a mixture of different size peptides and free amino acids" in the claims or specification to apprise one of skill in the art with an unambiguous meaning of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites the term "intact proteins <u>comprising</u> bioactive proteins." No objective criterion is provided in the specification or claim to apprise one of skill in the art of the meaning "intact proteins comprising bioactive proteins." There is no definition of "intact protein

Application/Control Number: 09/936,446 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

comprising bioactive proteins" in the claims or specification to apprise one of skill in the art with an unambiguous meaning of the claimed invention.

Claim 7 recites the term "intact proteins that are <u>at least partially</u> in the form of bioactive peptides." No objective criterion is provided in the specification or claim to apprise one of skill in the art of the meaning "intact proteins that are at least partially in the form of bioactive peptides." There is no definition of "intact proteins that are at least partially in the form of bioactive peptides" in the claims or specification to apprise one of skill in the art with an unambiguous meaning of the claimed invention.

Claim 13 and 19 recites the term "intact proteins that are at least partly in the form of bioactive peptides." No objective criterion is provided in the specification or claim to apprise one of skill in the art of the meaning "intact proteins that are at least partly in the form of bioactive peptides" There is no definition of "intact proteins that are at least partly in the form of bioactive peptides" in the claims or specification to apprise one of skill in the art with an unambigous meaning of the claimed invention.

All other claims depend directly or indirectly from rejected claims and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1651

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nichols et al. (US 4,977,137).

Applicant claims a nutritional enteral composition and/or method of making and/or of use comprising of a mixture of dietary protein hydrolysates wherein the mixture of the dietary protein hydrolysate is in the form of a mixture of different size peptides and free amino acids (?) and intact proteins comprising and/or at least partially and/or at least partly in the form of bioactive peptides(?) wherein the composition also includes other active ingredients such as fats, carbohydrates, lipids, minerals and vitamins whereas the composition provides nutritions and/or promotes the growth and maturation of non-mature gastrointestinal tracts of young mammals.

Nichols et al. teach (see, e.g., claims 1-27) a dietary ingredient to a formula (i.e hydrolysed casein formula (see, e.g., col.11 lines 54- column 12 lines 23) comprising of milk lactofferrin (i.e. intact proteins) wherein the composition also includes the bioactive peptides of epidermal growth factors (see, e.g. column 2 lines 53-56 also see, US 5183805, title) and other active ingredients such as fats, carbohydrates, lipids, minerals and vitamins (see, e.g. entire document) to promote growth of the gastrointestinal tract of human infants and newborn nonhuman animals. Nichols et al. do not expressly teach active ingredients ranges/ratios as claimed. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Nichols

Application/Control Number: 09/936,446 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

et al. to include the adjustment of other conventional working conditions such as (e.g. active ingredients ranges/ratios), particularly since the reference clearly indicates that the various proportions and amounts of the claimed composition are result effective variables and, thus, they would be routinely optimized by one of ordinary skill in the art in practicing the invention disclosed by the reference. Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is (703) 305-0404. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196 or the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743.

row

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER